scripts, and tons of resulting errors, 11 the copyist might have begun by misreading the word as *devolbit*.

Therefore my suggestion is to abandon Haupt's *devolsit* in Catull. 63.5 in favour of Achilles Statius' *devellit*.

University of Warsaw

KONRAD KOKOSZKIEWICZ

draco@ibi.uw.edu.pl

doi:10.1017/S0009838811000292

 11 Catull. 63.18 erocitatis O, crocitatis GR (< ere citatis); 63.38 cibellos O; 63.90 omne GR (< oe), esse O (< ee); etc.

TWO TEXTUAL EMENDATIONS IN APPIAN (HANN. 10.43; B CIV. 1.6.24)

Ι

τοὺς μὲν ἐκ τῶν συμμάχων φιλανθρωπευσάμενος ἀπέλυσεν ἐς τὰ ἑαυτῶν, θ ηρεύων τῆ φιλανθρωπία τὰς πόλεις

Showing generosity to those from the allies, he dispersed them to their own territories, hunting the cities through his generosity. (Appian, *Hannibalic Wars*, 10.43)¹

This passage is from the description of Hannibal's propaganda offensive in the aftermath of the Battle of Lake Trasimene. White's Loeb translation of the last clause ('sent them home without ransom, in order to conciliate their towns')² smoothes over a jarring metaphor in the transmitted Greek text. Appian, as the passage stands, does not in fact speak of 'conciliating the towns'. Rather, he says that Hannibal was hunting them: $\theta\eta\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega\nu$.

In itself, the idea of metaphorically 'hunting' good will through $\phi\iota\lambda\alpha\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\iota\alpha$ is a perfectly natural idiom in classical Greek. Compare Xenophon, Cyr. 8.2.2: $\tau o \dot{\nu} \tau o \iota s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{a} \tau o \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\phi\iota\lambda \dot{\iota} a\nu$ $\theta \eta \rho \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu$. This very parallel, however, reveals the awkwardness of Appian's apparent expression here. It is natural to speak of hunting a city's good will in such a context. In Xenophon, we note, the object of the verb of hunting is $\phi\iota\lambda \dot{\iota} a\nu$. It is much less natural to speak of hunting the city itself with $\phi\iota\lambda a\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\dot{\iota} a$, as Appian seems to be doing. Metaphors this cryptic and elliptical

^{*} The author thanks CO's anonymous referee for comment and correction.

¹ The texts of Appian used as a basis for this discussion are the 1905 Teubner of L. Mendelssohn and P. Viereck for the *Civil Wars* and the 1939 Teubner of P. Viereck and A.G. Roos, as revised by E. Gabba in 1962, for the remainder of the corpus.

² H. White, *Appian's* Roman History *I* (London, 1912), 321. Compare K. Brodersen, *Appian von Alexandria: Erster Teil: Die römische Reichsbildung* (Stuttgart, 1987), 128: 'um durch solche Vergünstigung ihre Städte für sich zu gewinnen'; D. Gaillard, *Appien* Histoire Romaine *livre VII: Le livre d'Annibal* (Paris, 1998), 9: 'cherchant à prendre les cités au piège de ses bons sentiments'.

are not characteristic of the historian's usual prose style. Appian's other instances of hunting imagery are much more straightforward: contrast *B Civ.* 4.129.541 (hunting down the rout from the battle of Philippi), *B Civ.* 4.129.545 (the hunt from the last passage produces a friend rather than an enemy) and *B Civ.* 5.101.421 (the man-hunt for Menodorus).³

White's attempt to produce a more natural flow of sense in his translation offers a clue as to how to resolve the difficulty. If we read $\theta\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega\nu$ in place of $\theta\eta\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega\nu$, the sentence becomes much clearer: Hannibal is *conciliating* the cities with his generosity, not hunting them. Appian likes to use $\theta\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega$ in this sense: compare *Hann.* 2.4 (twice, of Hamilcar), *Mac.* 11.1, *B Civ.* 1.65.298 and 299, and, especially, *Hisp.* 23.90 (also of cities). Confusion between the two verbs may be paralleled by Sopatros' inaccurate citation of Plato *Gorgias* 464d2.

We may note in conclusion that reading $\theta\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega\nu$ at this point in the historian's narrative helps to make sense of an otherwise puzzling particle in the following sentence (*Hann.* 10.44). Talking about the division of spoils, Appian comments $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ δὲ λείαν τοῖς συστρατεύουσι $K\epsilon\lambda\tauοῖς$ ἀποδόμενος, ἵνα καὶ τούσδε $\theta\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\sigma\epsilon\iota\epsilon$ τῷ κέρδει, προύβαινεν ἐς τὸ πρόσθεν. With the transmitted text, the point of the καί in this sentence is unclear. White, for example, ignores it in his English text, while in other translations it sits oddly. If Appian has already used the verb $\theta\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega$ in the previous sentence, however, its force becomes obvious. Hannibal, having conciliated the cities, conciliates the Celts as well.

H

ώδε μεν εκ στάσεων ποικίλων ή πολιτεία 'Ρωμαίοις ες όμόνοιαν καὶ μοναρχίαν περιέστη' ταῦτα δ' ὅπως ἐγένετο, συνέγραψα καὶ συνήγαγον, ἀξιοθαύμαστα ὅντα τοῖς ἐθέλουσιν ἰδεῖν φιλοτιμίαν ἀνδρῶν ἄμετρον καὶ φιλαρχίαν δεινὴν καρτερίαν τε ἄτρυτον καὶ κακῶν ἰδέας μυρίων.

Thus the Roman state passed from manifold civil conflicts to harmony and monarchy. I have written and gathered together how these things happened, since they are worth the attention of those who want to behold unbounded ambition of men and terrible desire for power and unyielding endurance and types of countless woes.

(Appian, Civil Wars 1.6.24)

In this passage, Appian introduces his account of civil conflict at Rome. Most of what the MSS transmit here is straightforward. One expression, however, is a little odd: $\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \ i \delta \hat{\epsilon} \alpha s \ \mu \nu \rho i \omega \nu$ ('types of countless woes'). If Appian is interested in classifying the woes of civil conflict into types, one would expect him to focus not upon the number of those woes *tout court* but rather on the number of different *types* of woes. Without such an emphasis, it is hard to see what an unadorned $i \delta \hat{\epsilon} \alpha s$ contributes to the sentence. White clearly felt this problem, and so rendered

³ Compare E. Famerie, Concordantia in Appianum (Hildesheim, 1993), 1016.

⁴ Compare Famerie (n. 3), 1013.

⁵ See E. R. Dodds, *Plato:* Gorgias (Oxford, 1959), 94, apparatus criticus to 464d2.

⁶ Brodersen (n. 2), 128: 'um durch diesen Gewinn *auch* sie an sich zu binden'; Gaillard (n. 2), 9: 'il l'accorda aux Celtes qui faisaient campagne avec lui afin que le profit lui valût leurs bonnes grâces à eux *aussi*' (my italics, in both cases).

κακῶν ἰδέας μυρίων as 'the countless forms of evil'. This gives the necessary emphasis to make the sentence satisfying, but does not accurately represent the Greek text as the MSS have transmitted it.

A common element in all these Thucydidean expressions, 'every/many type(s) of x', is that the adjective expressing plurality agrees grammatically with the word for 'type', not its dependent genitive. Appian's usage elsewhere in his work shows an identical pattern. Compare Pun. 73.333: νόσων ... ἐδέαι πάσαι; Mith. 22.87: συμφορῶν ἐδέαι ποικίλαι; Mith. 54.219: παντοίαις ἐδέαις κακῶν; B Civ. 1.9.36: τροπὰςς κινδύνων ποικίλαις; B Civ. 1.84.381: πολέμων ἐδέαι πᾶσαι; B Civ. 4.13.49: τρόποι τῶν φόνων ποικίλοι; B Civ. 4.14.53: ἐδέα τε πᾶσα κακῶν. The patterning of adjective and nouns also stays the same in other classical historians who are expressing the same idea, as for example at Tac. Hist. 3.28.1: varia pereuntium forma et omni imagine mortium.

In short, then, both the weight of parallels and the sense of the sentence suggest that Appian in fact wrote $\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu i \delta \hat{\epsilon} \alpha s \mu \nu \rho i \alpha s$ at B Civ. 1.6.24.

Somerville College, Oxford

L.V. PITCHER

luke.pitcher@classics.ox.ac.uk

doi:10.1017/S0009838811000322

⁷ H. White, *Appian's* Roman History *III* (London, 1913), 13. Compare E. Gabba, *Appiani* Bellorum Civilium *Liber Primus* (Firenze, 1958), 353: 'svariate forme di male'; J. Carter, *Appian*: The Civil Wars (London, 1996), 4: 'evil in ten thousand shapes'.

⁸ H.G. Strebel, Wertung und Wirkung des Thukydideischen Geschichtswerkes in der griechisch-römischen Literatur: Eine literargeschichtliche Studie nebst einem Exkurs über Appian als Nachahmer des Thukydides (Speyer am Rhein, 1935).

⁹ S. Hornblower, A Commentary on Thucydides Volume 1: Books I–III (Oxford, 1991), 173–4.